REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF Montecito Road SC 931 General Plan Amendment, PAA 08-008/GPA 08-011

April 13, 2009

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the							
Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?							
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
Discussion:							
While the proposed project and off-site improvements are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program, the project does not have the potential to cause any impacts to habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance, the project is found to be exempt.							
II. MSCP/BMO - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?							
Y [ES N	O N	OT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
Discussion:							
The proposed project is located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.							
III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?							
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
Discussion:							

The project is exempt from the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance Section 67.720. The project will not have a significant adverse impact on groundwater quantity because the project does not propose any use of groundwater.

V. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:							
The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Article IV, Section 5)?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠				
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠				
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
Discussion: The Resource Protection Ordinance is not applicable to this project. V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?							
YES NO	NOT AP	PLICA	BLE				
Discussion:							
The proposed project is a General Plan Amendment to delete a portion of a roadway from the Circulation Element and does not propose any physical changes to the environment. The project does not propose waste discharges that require a Storm Water Management Plan. In addition, the project does not propose any known sources of polluted runoff or land use activities that would require special site design considerations, source control Best Management Practices (BMPs) or treatment control BMPs. As such the project is not anticipated to result in any increase in polluted runoff or any significant adverse effects to water quality.							
VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?							
YES NO □	NOT AP	PLICA	BLE				

Discussion:

The proposed project is a General Plan Amendment to delete a portion of a roadway from the Circulation Element and does not propose any physical changes to the environment. The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.